

NUTRITION OF



308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544 E-mail: editorpjn@gmail.com Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 15 (5): 446-449, 2016 ISSN 1680-5194 © Asian Network for Scientific Information, 2016



Effect of Different Levels of Orange (*Citrus Sinensis*) Waste Juice Extracts on Broiler Chickens Performance

Ucop Haroen¹, Yetti Marlida², Mirzah² and Agus Budianyah¹

¹Departement of Nutrition and Animal Feed Science Technology,

Faculty of Animal Science, Jambi University, Jambi-36361, Indonesia

²Departement of Agricultural, Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Andalas University, Padang-25163, Indonesia

Abstract: This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of orange (*Citrus sinensis*) waste juice extracts (OWJE) on performance in broilers chickens. A total of two hundred and forty unsexed broiler chicks (Arbor Acres CP-707) were randomly allocated to six treatments groups given varying concentrations of OWJE in the drinking water for 35 d. 0, 250, 500, 750 and 1000 ppm OWJE: water supplemented with an antibiotic only was used as a control. The growth responses achieved by broilers from all groups complied with standards. However, supplementation with 1000 ppm OWJE in drinking water increased feed intake and body weight gain, thereby increasing the feed conversion ratio of both starter (days 1-21) and finisher (days 22-35) broilers, while 750 ppm OWJE promoted feed intake and weight gain in starters. These results indicate OWJE is a useful additive for promoting broilers chicken growth. Additional research is needed to improve the suitability of OWJE as a feed additive which promotes growth in poultry.

Key words: Orange waste juice extract, broilers, growth promoters, feed additive

INTRODUCTION

The use of feed additive promote growth of livestock or improve productivity, health and production efficiency (Pascual *et al.*, 1999). Due to concerns about bacterial resistance, antibiotics use has been under scrutiny. Different antibiotics were once commonly used simultaneously or intermittently in the poultry diet. However, bans on their promoters has lead to a search for alternatives (Callaway *et al.*, 2008; Dibaji *et al.*, 2012; Aziz Mousavi *et al.*, 2012). In particular oranges (*Citrus sinensis*) contain many bioactive compounds that can be used as growth promoters in broilers chicken.

Oranges (Citrus sinensis) are one of the most important and oldest horticulture products in many tropical and subtropical areas. Orange waste juice is a primary byproduct produced by the fruit processing industry and attempts have been made to use orange waste juice extracts (OWJE) as natural feed additive and medicinal supplement for animals (Callaway et al., 2008; Miller et al., 2004; Tanaka et al., 2000). As bioactive compounds, OWJE are a valuable source of flavonoids, steroids, triterpenoids, phenolic, saponins, cumarin, vitamin C (Miller et al., 2008) and especially limonoids (Haroen, 2013; Yu et al., 2005; Roy and Saraf, 2006). In many cases, the skin is even more nutritious than the fruit itself. OWJE contains high concentrations of limonoid compounds (Haroen, 2013). Previous studies have found that orange and other citrus waste juice extracts are also effective in lowering cholesterol in broilers (Haroen, 2014). Oluremi et al. (2006) reported that supplementation of broiler feed with up to 15% sweet orange rinds did not have any adverse effects on growth performance. Conversely, Mourao *et al.* (2008) reported that adding 10% citrus pulp to broiler feed reduced daily weight gain compared with the control diet, feed intake increased in broilers fed 5 or 10% citrus pulp, which resulted in higher feed efficiency in birds fed different levels of OWJE.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and dietary treatments: The current study was carried out on a poultry farm situated in the Jambi University Faculty of Animal Husbandry; (Jambi, Indonesia) in 2015. The study lasted a total of 35 d and used scaffoldings and pens (dimensions, 2 x 1 x 1 m). In preparation for the study, the poultry facility was carefully cleaned and rinsed using pressurized water disinfect. After installation of manual drinkers and pan feeders in each pen, the hall was gasified 24h before broilers allocation. These procedures were repeated before and after testing each of the four experimental replicates. Two hundred and forty 1 d-old chicks (Arbor Acress CP-707) obtained from a commercial hatchery were raised in a conventional environment. The current study was conducted with a completely randomized design and six treatments. Drinking water (treatments) were replicated four times, with each experimental replicate comprised of one pen of 10 birds. The mean body weight of broilers was 41.5 g. Chicks were vaccinated following at standard vaccination schedule,

in order to reduce the stress caused by vaccination, a multi-electrolyte solution was added to the drinking water 24 h before and after administering vaccinations. The poultry facility had thermostatically controlled curtains, cross-ventilation and a lighting program. Pens were also furnished with wood shavings. Drinkers were regularly washed to prevent faecal and microbial contaminations.

The two-phase feeding regime consisting of a starter (days 1-21) and finisher (days 22-35) period was used. Treatment groups were as follows: P0 = drinking water containing only an antibiotic (control); P1 = 0 ppm OWJE; P2 = 250 ppm OWJE; P3 = 500 ppm OWJE; P4 = 750 ppm OWJE; P5 = 1000 ppm OWJE. The ap-proximate chemical composition of the broiler diet used is reported in Table 1. Diets were formulated to meet or exceed broiler nutrients requirements (NRC, 1997). Feed and water were provided ad libitum. Samples of the OWJE and diet were ground in a hammer mill with a 1-mm screen and analyzed in triplicate for dry matter, ash, crude protein (N x 6.25), crude fibre and ether extract content according to Association of Analytical Communities methods (AOAC, 2000). The ingredients and chemical composition of starter and finisher basal diets are shown in Table 2. The body weight and feed intake of replicate birds were determined weekly. Mean daily body weight gain, mean total feed intake and feed conversion ratios were then calculated.

Statistical analysis: Data were statistically analyzed using one-way analysis of variance. Statistics were carried out using SAS version 8.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). If necessary, a Duncan's multiple range test was applied to compare differences between means (Steel *et al.*, 1997).

RESULTS

Our results showed significant differences in mean daily fed intake, mean daily body weight gain and feed conversion ratios (Table 3). Compared to the control group (P0), mean feed consumption of birds given OWJE in the drinking water: regardless of concentration in the starter phase developed throughout way the entire rearing period. Incorporation of up to 1000 ppm OWJE in the drinking water increased feed intake and growth producing a very low feed conversion ratio. Additionally, greater daily body weight gain was related to 1000 ppm OWJE treatment (P5) during the starter phase (days 1-21), whereas significantly higher weight gains were achieved in broilers drinking 750 ppm OWJE (P4) throughout the finisher period (days 22-35). In particular, the lowest conversion ratio was obtained by broilers given 750 ppm OWJE during the finisher phase.

DISCUSSION

This current study showed that mean daily body weight gain, mean total feed intake and feed conversion ratios

Table 1: Ingredient of starter and finisher diets fed to broilers

Ingredient,	Starter diet	Finisher diet
% as fed-basis	(days 1-21)	(days 22-35)
Yellow corn (%)	48	51
Soybean meal (%)	24	21
Fish meal (%)	11	9
Corn oil (%)	3.25	3.25
Coconut cake (%)	8	8
CaCO₃ (%)	1	1
DL-methionine (%)	0.375	0.375
L-lysine (%)	0.375	0.375

Vitamins and minerals supplied per kg of diet: vitamin A, 12 000 IU; vitamin E, 10 mg; vitamin D, 2 200 IU; niacin, 35 mg; D-pantothenic acid, 12 mg; riboflavin, 3.63 mg; pyridoxine, 3.5 mg; thiamine, 2.4 mg; folic acid, 1.4 mg; biotin, 0.15 mg; vitamin B. 0.03 mg; manganese, 60 mg; zinc, 40 mg; iron, 1 280 mg; copper, 8 mg; iodine, 0.3 mg; selenium, 0.2 mg

Table 2: Biochemical content of experimental diets fed to broiler chickens

	Starter diet	Finisher diet
Item	(days 1-21)	(days 22-35)
Metabolizable energy(kcal/kg) ¹	3130.69	2923.83
Crude protein (%)2	22.05	20.01
Crude fiber (%) ²	4.79	4.78
Ether extract (%) ²	5.62	3.65
Ash (%) ²	8.07	7.20
Lysine (%) ²	0.97	0.90
Methionine (%) ²	0.58	0.58
Met+Cys (%) ²	0.37	0.37
Threonine (%) ²	0.35	0.35
Calcium (%) ²	1.01	0.92
Phosphorus (%) ²	0.65	0.60

were higher in broilers drinking water supplemented with 1000 ppm OWJE during both the starter and finisher phase relative to other OWJE concentrations. On the other hand, drinking water containing 750 ppm OWJE in the same phase resulted in higher feed consumption compared to other OWJE treatment groups. These results are in agreement with findings reported by Nannapaneni *et al.* (2008) on broilers fed diets containing bioactive compounds.

In previous study, Al-Kassie (2008) found that when fennel and rosemary powders used as feed additives promoted showed altered growth performance of broilers given drinking w growth in broiler chickens. Ademola et al. (2004) showed altered growth performance of broilers given drinking water containing a mixture of garlic (Allium sativum) and ginger (Zingiber officinale) extracts. Moreover, Nadaullah et al. (2010) reported that drinking water containing a mixture of garlic (Allium sativum), ginger (Zingiber officinale), Neem (Azadirachta indica) and berberis (Berberis lycium) extracts affected broiler growth performance and had immunostimulant and anti coccidial properties.

In our study, increased feed intake was observed for broilers drinking water containing 1000 ppm OWJE throughout the entire rearing period. It is posited that the reduction in feed consumption with 750 ppm OWJE and other treatments led to an increase in ration palatability.

Total feed intake, g/bird days 1-21 787.370±103.1³ 768.300±17.90³ 789.000±83.40³ 785.550±64.40³ 739.325±49.70³ 732.572±75.3a Average daily gain, g/day/bird Average daily gain, g/day/bird 373.477±30.10³ 364.975±22.80³ 370.660±35.90³ 378.692±54.80³ 417.652±27.70³ 423.336±64.00³ Average daily gain, g/day/bird 373.477±30.10³ 364.975±22.80³ 370.660±35.90³ 378.692±54.80³ 417.652±27.70³ 423.336±64.00³ days 22-35 569.430±51.7 544.102±57.8 589.820±14.1 741.282±34.2 853.930±72.2 881.190±72.1 Feed conversion ratio, g/g 2.09±0.30³° 2.10±0.00³° 2.13±0.10³° 1.93±0.10³° 1.53±0.10³° 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 Avision ratio, g/g 2.09±10 2.20±0.1 2.16±0.2 1.87±0.2 1.87±0.2 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 Avision ratio, g/g 2.09±10 2.20±0.1 2.16±0.2 1.242.790±134.80³° 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 </th <th>Treatment</th> <th>OWJE+antibiotic</th> <th>OWJE+0 ppm</th> <th>OWJE+250 ppm</th> <th>OWJE+500 ppm</th> <th>OWJE+750 ppm</th> <th>OWJE+1000 ppm</th>	Treatment	OWJE+antibiotic	OWJE+0 ppm	OWJE+250 ppm	OWJE+500 ppm	OWJE+750 ppm	OWJE+1000 ppm
192.10±37.7 191.60±55.0 789.000±83.40° 785.550±64.40° 739.325±9.70° 7192.10±37.7 1191.60±55.0 1324.12±130.4 1386.75±151.7 1443.72±236.0 1192.10±37.7 1191.60±55.0 1324.12±130.4 1386.75±151.7 1443.72±236.0 1443.72±236.0 1443.72±236.0 1443.72±236.0 1443.72±236.0 1443.72±236.0 1443.72±236.0 1443.72±236.0 1443.72±236.0 1443.72±236.0 1443.76° 1443.76° 1495.739±54.80° 152.82±34.2 152.84±17.73° 152.84±17.73° 152.84±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.75° 152.84±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.75° 152.84±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.75° 163.87±12.0° 152.84±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.75° 163.87±12.0° 152.84±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±18° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±18° 142.87±18° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±18° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±18° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 142.87±17.73° 142.87±18.87±17.73° 142.87±18.87±17.73° 142.87±18.87±17.73° 142.87±18.87±17.73° 142.87±18.87±17.73° 142.87±17.73° 142.87±17.73° 142.87±17.73° 142.87±17.73	Total feed intake, g/bird						
1192.10±37.7 1191.60±55.0 1324.12±130.4 1386.75±151.7 1443.72±236.0 1191.60±55.0 1324.12±130.4 1386.75±151.7 1443.72±236.0 1191.60±55.0 1324.12±130.4 1386.75±151.7 1443.72±236.0 1432.72±236.0	days 1-21	787.370±103.1ª	768.300±17.90°	789.000±83.40 ^a	785.550±64.40°	739.325±49.70	732.572±75.3a
ily gain, g/day/bird 373.477±30.10° 364.975±22.80° 370.660±35.90° 378.692±54.80° 417.652±27.70° 4 rsion ratio, g/g 2.09±0.30° 2.10±0.00° 2.13±0.10° 1.93±0.10° 1.93±0.10° 1.33±0.10° 1.33±0.10° 1.33±0.10° 1.33±0.10° 1.35±0.10° veight (g/bird) 1171.214±37.60° 1195.739±54.80° 1242.790±134.80° 152.84±17.73° 1303.920±236.70° 1 nescripts in the same row refer to similifyantly different data for similifyantly different data for similifyantly different data for 50.00° 37.27±12.00° 152.84±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 1	days 22-35	1192.10±37.7	1191.60±55.0	1324.12±130.4	1386.75±151.7	1443.72±236.0	1529.875±131.0
373.477±30.10° 364,975±22.80° 370.660±35,90° 378.692±54.80° 417.652±27.70° 4 1sion ratio, g/g 2.09±0.30° 2.10±0.00° 2.13±0.10° 1.93±0.10°° 1.73±0.10°° 1.73±0.10°° 1.73±0.10°° 1.87±0.2 2.09±10 2.20±0.1 2.16±0.2 1.87±0.2 1.87±0.2 1.65±0.1 weight (g/bird) 1171.214±37.60° 1195,739±54.80°° 163.27±12.00°° 152.84±17.73°° 162.17±4.21°° 1195,73±0.10°° 163.27±12.00°° 152.84±17.73°° 162.17±4.21°° 1195.73±12.10°° 152.84±17.73°° 162.17±4.21°° 1195.73±12.10°° 152.84±17.73°° 162.17±4.21°° 1195.73±12.10°° 152.84±17.73°° 162.17±4.21°° 1195.73±0.10°° 119	Average daily gain, g/day/bird						
## S69.430±51.7 544.102±57.8 589.820±14.1 741.282±34.2 853.930±72.2 8 ## Prizon ratio, g/g 2.09±0.30°° 2.10±0.00°° 2.13±0.10° 1.93±0.10°° 1.73±0.10°° 1.73±0.10°° 1.73±0.10°° 1.87±0.2 1.87±0.2 1.85±0.1 1195.739±54.80°° 1242.790±134.80°° 152.84±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 1 passecrite in the same row refer to similificantly different data in <0.05 10.05	days 1-21	373.477±30.10 ^b	364.975±22.80°	370.660±35.90b	378.692±54.80°	417.652±27.70°	423.336±64.00b
sion ratio, g/g 2.09±0.30 ^{ps} 2.09±0.30 ^{ps} 2.09±10 2.09±10 2.09±10 2.09±10 2.09±10 2.09±10 2.09±10 2.09±10 2.09±10 2.09±10 2.00±10 2	days 22-35	569.430±51.7	544.102±57.8	589.820±14.1	741.282±34.2	853.930±72.2	881.190±72.1
2.09±0.30°° 2.10±0.00°° 2.13±0.10° 1.93±0.10°° 1.73±0.10°° 1.73±0.10°° 1.73±0.10°° 1.73±0.10°° 1.65±0.1 1.87±0.2 1.87±0.2 1.65±0.1 1.87±0.2 1.87±0.2 1.65±0.1 1.87±0.2 1.87±0.2 1.85±0.1 1.87±0.2 1.87±0.2 1.85±0.1 1.87±0.2 1.87±0.2 1.85±0.1 1.87±0.2 1.85±0.1 1.87±0.2 1.85±0.1 1.87±0.2 1.85±0.1 1.87±0.2 1.85±0.1 1.87±0.2 1.85±0.1 1.87±0.2 1.85±0.1 1.85±0.	Feed conversion ratio, g/g						
2.09±10 2.20±0.1 2.16±0.2 1.87±0.2 1.65±0.1 1.65±0.1 1.00 to the same row refer to simplificantly display 1.00 to simple the same row refer to simplify display 1.00 to simple the same row refer to simplify display 1.00 to simple to simple the same row refer to simple to simple the same row refer to simple	days 1-21	2.09±0.30ba	2.10±0.00ba	2.13±0.10°	1.93±0.10bac	1.73±0.10 ^{bc}	1.64±0.30°
1171.214±37.60° 1195.739±54.80°° 1242.790±134.80°°° 1263.410±152.30°° 1303.920±236.70°° 13/day 155.76±15.86a 155.43±6.632a 163.27±12.00° 152.84±17.73° 162.17±4.21° 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	days 22-35d	2.09±10	2.20±0.1	2.16±0.2	1.87±0.2	1.65±0.1	1.63±0.2
163.27±12.00° 152.84±17.73° 162.17±4.21° ′	Final body weight (g/bird)	1171.214±37.60⁴	1195.739±54.80dc	1242.790±134.80dcb	1263.410±152.30°b	1303.920±236.70ba	1359.660±131.80°
Different conservative in the came row refer to cinnificantly different data (n<0.04)	Water comsumption ml/bird/day	155.76±15.86a	155.43±6.632a	163.27±12.00 ^a	152.84±17.73	162.17±4.21³	158.48±15.64 ^a
	Different superscripts in the same	ow refer to significantly d	ifferent data (n<0.05)	00:31:3:00:	0 : 1 = 10:00		

Table 3: Effect of different levels of OWJE on broilers chickens performance

In addition, the bioactive compounds contained within OWJE have been shown to increase immune system function in birds (Miller et al., 2008). Oluremi et al. (2006) reported that supplementation of broiler feed with up to 15% sweet orange rinds as a replacement for maize did not adversely affects performance.

Factors affecting broiler weight gain include detrimental microorganisms which stimulate the immune system, in this case dietary nutrients normally used to build protein and muscle are redirected in order to power immune process. Hence, antibiotics can enhance growth in commercial animals (Apata, 2009). Other factors that influences weight gain and growth are those that affect animal health, weakening the body and potentially leading to tissues erosion (Apata, 2009). Gabriel et al. (2006) found that Artemisia annual leaf powder and extract oil had anticoccidial properties but reduced the number of oocytes per gram of faces and daily weight gain of broilers.

Herein the effects of OWJE in drinking water on broiler feed conversion ratios were significant. Feed conversion ratios are related to daily body weight gain and feed intake. Current results showed that drinking water containing added OWJE functions as a growth promoter in broiler chickens. Therefore we concluded that OWJE positively influences broiler chicken growth traits when administered in the drinking water during developmental periods, particularly at or above 750 ppm. However, further research is needed to improve the suitability of OWJE as a drinking water supplement and growth promoter in poultry production.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to the Hibah Doktor Project Directorate General of Higher Education, Department of National Education, Republic of Indonesia, which helped fund this project.

REFERENCES

- Ademola, S.G., G.O. Farinu, A.O. Ajayi and G.M. Babutunde, 2004. Growth, hematological and biochemical studies on garlic and ginger-fed broiler chichen. Moor. J. Agric. Res., 5: 122-128.
- Al-Kassie, G.A.M., 2008. The Effect of Anise and Rosemary on Broiler Performance. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 7: 243-245
- AOAC, 2000. Official methods of analysis. 17th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington DC, USA.
- Apata, D.F., 2009. Antibiotic Resistance in Poultry. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 8: 404-408.
- Aziz Mousavi, S.M.A., A.R. Seidavi and M. Dadashbeiki, 2012. Effect of different levels of synbiotics on carcass characteristics of broiler. Res. Opin. Anim. Vet. Sci., 2: 161-165.

- Callaway, T.R., J.A. Carroll, J.D. Arthington, C. Pratt and T.S. Edrington, 2008. Citrus Products Decrease Growth of *E. coli* O157: H7 and Salmonella Typhimurium in Pure Culture and in Fermentation with Mixed Ruminal Microorganisms *in vitro*. Foodborne Pathog. Dis., 5: 621-627.
- Dibaji, S.M., A. Seidavi and L. Asadpour, 2012. Effect of dietary inclusion of the synbiotic Biomin IMBO on broilers' some blood metabolites. Res. Opin. Anim. Vet. Sci., 2: 10-13.
- Gabriel, I., M. Lessire, S. Mallet and J.F. Guillot, 2006. Microflora of the digestive tract: critical factors and consequences for poultry. Worlds Poult. Sci. J., 62: 499-511.
- Haroen, U., 2013. Extraction and isolation phytochemical and antimicrobial activity of limonoid compounds from orange waste juice. Pak. J. Nutr., 12: 730-735.
- Haroen, U., 2014. Study of extract orange juice waste as feed additive in broiler ration: Disertation, Post Graduate Program of Andalas University.
- Miller, E.G., J.L. Porter, W.H. Binnie, I.Y. Guo and S. Hasegawa, 2004. Futher studies on the anticancer activity of citrus limonoids. J. Agric. Food Chem., 52: 4908-4912.
- Miller, E.G., J.J. Peacock, T.C. Bourland, S.E. Taylor and J.M. Wright, 2008. Inhibition of oral carcinogenesis by citrus flavonoids. Nutr. and Cancer, 60: 69-74.
- Mourao, J.L., V.M. Pinheiro, J.A.M. Prates, R.J.B. Bessa, L.M.A. Ferreira, C.M.G.A. Fontes and P.I.P. Ponter, 2008. Effect of Dietary Dehydrated Pasture and Citrus Pulp on the Performance and Meat Quality of Broiler Chickens. Poult. Sci., 87: 733-743.
- Nadaullah, H., F.R. Durrani, S. Ahmad, I.U. Jan and S. Gul, 2010. Aqueous extract from different medicinal plant as anti coccidial, growth promotive and immunostimulant in broiler. ARPN. J. Agric. Biol., 5: 53-59.

- Nannapaneni, R., A. Muthaiyan, P.G. Crandall, M.G. Johnson, C.A. O'Bryan, V.I. Chalova, T.R. Callaway, J.A. Carroll, J.D. Arthington, D.J. Nisbet and S.C. Ricke, 2008. Antimicrobial Activity of Commercial Citrus-Based Natural Extracts Against Escherichia coli 0157:H7 Isolates and Mutant Strains. Foodborne Path. Dis., 5: 695-699.
- NRC, 1997. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry, 9th rev. ed., National Research Council, Washington, USA 33-743.
- Oluremi, O.I.A., V.O. Ojighen and E.H. Ejembi, 2006. The Nutritive Potentials of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Rind in Broiler Production. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 5: 613-617.
- Pascual, M., M. Hugas, J.I. Badiola, J.M. Monfort and M. Garriga, 1999. Lactobacillus salivarus CTC 2197 prevents salmonella enteritidis colonization In chicken. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 65: 4981-4986.
- Roy, A. and S. Saraf, 2006. Limonoids overview of significant bioactive triterpenes distributed in plants kingdom. Biol. Pharm. Bull., 29: 191-201.
- Steel, R.G.D., J.H. Torrie and D.A. Dicky, 1997. Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biomertrical Approach, 3rd Edition. McGraw-Hill Series in Probability and Statistics, WCB/McGraw-Hill, New York, USA Miller E.G, R. Fanous, F. H. Rivera W.H., Binnie and S. Hasegawa. 1989. The effect of citrus limonoids on hamster buccal pouch carcinogenesis. Carcinogenesis, 10: 1535-1537.
- Tanaka, T., H. Kohno, K. Kawaqbata, S. Honjo and M. Miyake and K. Wada, 2000. Citrus limonoids obacunone and limonin inhibit the development of a precursor lesion aberrant crypt foci for colon cancer in rats. In Citrus limonoids functional chemicals in agriculture and foods. Ed. M. Berhow, S. Hasegawa and G. Manners, 758: 145-163.
- Yu, J., L.L. Wang, Rosemary, Walzemm, E.G. Miller, L. Pike and B.S. Pail, 2005. Antioxidant activity of citrus limonoid, flavonoid and coumarins. J. Agric. Food Chem., 37: 878-880.